arXiv:1102.0672v1 [math.FA] 3 Feb 2011

On the density of polynomials in some $L^2(M)$ spaces.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

1 Introduction.

In this paper we shall study the density of polynomials in some $L^2(M)$ spaces. Two choices of the measure M and polynomials will be considered:

(A) a $\mathbb{C}^{\geq}_{N\times N}$-valued measure M on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and vector-valued polynomials:

$$p(x) = (p_0(x), p_1(x), ..., p_{N-1}(x)), \tag{1}$$

where $p_j(x)$ are complex polynomials, $0 \leq j \leq N-1$; $N \in \mathbb{N}$;

(B) a scalar non-negative Borel measure σ in a strip

$$\Pi = \{(x,\varphi) : \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \varphi \in [-\pi, \pi)\}, \tag{2}$$

and power-trigonometric polynomials:

$$p(x,\varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m e^{in\varphi}, \ \alpha_{m,n} \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3}$$

where all but finite number of coefficients $\alpha_{m,n}$ are zeros.

The case (A) is closely related to the matrix Hamburger moment problem which consists of finding a left-continuous non-decreasing matrix function $M(x) = (m_{k,l}(x))_{k,l=0}^{N-1}$ on $\mathbb{R}$, $M(-\infty) = 0$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n dM(x) = S_n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{4}$$

where $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a prescribed sequence of Hermitian $(N \times N)$ complex matrices, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. In the scalar case $(N = 1)$ it is well known that polynomials are dense in $L^2(M)$ on the real line if and only if M is a canonical solution of the corresponding moment problem [1].

In the case of an arbitrary N and if the matrix Hamburger moment problem is completely indetermined, the density of polynomials is equivalent to the fact that M is a canonical solution of the moment problem (4) (i.e. it corresponds to a constant unitary matrix in the Nevanlinna type parameterization for solutions of (4)) [2].

On the other hand, the case (B) is related to the Devinatz moment problem: to find a non-negative Borel measure μ in a strip Π such that

$$\int_{\Pi} x^m e^{in\varphi} d\mu = s_{m,n}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{5}$$

where $\{s_{m,n}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a prescribed sequence of complex numbers [3].
In the both cases, we shall prove that polynomials are dense in $L^2(M)$ if and only if M is a canonical solution of the corresponding moment problem, without any additional assumptions (definitions of the canonical solutions shall be given below). For this purpose, we derive a model for a finite set of commuting self-adjoint and unitary operators with a spectrum of a finite multiplicity (precise definitions shall be stated below). The latter is a generalization of the canonical model for a self-adjoint operator with a spectrum of a finite multiplicity [4]. Using known descriptions of canonical solutions, we shall obtain conditions for the density of polynomials in $L^2(M)$.

Notations. As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$ the sets of real numbers, complex numbers, positive integers, integers and non-negative integers, respectively; $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2i}(z - \overline{z}) \geq 0\}$. By $\mathbb{C}_{n\times n}$ we denote a set of all $(n \times n)$ matrices with complex elements; $\mathbb{C}_n := \mathbb{C}_{1\times n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $\mathbb{C}^{\geq}_{n\times n}$ we mean a set of all nonnegative Hermitian matrices from $\mathbb{C}_{n\times n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $\mathbb{P}$ we denote a set of all complex polynomials. By $\mathbb{P}^N$ we mean a set of vector-valued polynomials: $p(z) = (p_0(z), p_1(z), ..., p_{N-1}(z))$; $p_j \in \mathbb{P}$, $0 \leq j \leq N-1$; $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For a subset S of the complex plane we denote by $\mathfrak{B}(S)$ the set of all Borel subsets of S. Everywhere in this paper, all Hilbert spaces are assumed to be separable. By $(\cdot,\cdot)_H$ and $\|\cdot\|_H$ we denote the scalar product and the norm in a Hilbert space H, respectively. The indices may be omitted in obvious cases. For a set M in H, by $\overline{M}$ we mean the closure of M in the norm $\|\cdot\|_H$. For $\{x_k\}_{k\in S}$, $x_k \in H$, we write $\mathrm{Lin}\{x_k\}_{k\in S}$ for a set of linear combinations of vectors $\{x_k\}_{k\in S}$ and $\mathrm{span}\{x_k\}_{k\in S} = \overline{\mathrm{Lin}\{x_k\}_{k\in S}}$. Here S is an arbitrary set of indices. The identity operator in H is denoted by $E = E_H$. For an arbitrary linear operator A in H, the operators A^*,$\overline{A}$,A^{-1} mean its adjoint operator, its closure and its inverse (if they exist). By $D(A)$ and $R(A)$ we mean the domain and the range of the operator A. We denote by $R_z(A)$ the resolvent function of A, where z belongs to the resolvent set of A. If A is bounded, then the norm of A is denoted by $\|A\|$. If A is symmetric, we denote $\Delta_A(z) := (A - zE_H)D(A)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$; and $N_\lambda = N_\lambda(A) = H \ominus \Delta_A(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$. By $P^H_{H_1} = P_{H_1}$ we mean the operator of orthogonal projection in H on a subspace H_1 in H.
We denote $D_{r,l} = \mathbb{R}^r \times [-\pi,\pi)^l = \{(x_1, x_2, ..., x_r, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_l), x_j \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi_k \in [-\pi,\pi),\ 1 \leq j \leq r,\ 1 \leq k \leq l\}$, $r, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Elements $u \in D_{r,l}$ we briefly

denote by $u = (x,\varphi)$, $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_r)$, $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_l)$. We mean $D_{r,0} = \mathbb{R}^r$; $D_{0,l} = [-\pi,\pi)^l$.
Let $M(\delta) = (m_{i,j}(\delta))_{i,j=0}^{N-1}$ be a $\mathbb{C}_{N\times N}^{\geq}$-valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(D_{r,l})$, and $\tau = \tau_M(\delta) := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} m_{k,k}(\delta)$; $M'_\tau = (m'_{k,l})_{k,l=0}^{N-1} = (dm_{k,l}/d\tau_M)_{k,l=0}^{N-1}$; $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $L^2(M)$ a set (of classes of equivalence) of vector-valued functions $f : D_{r,l} \to \mathbb{C}_N$, $f = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{N-1})$, such that (see, e.g., [5],[6])

$$\|f\|^2_{L^2(M)} := \int_{D_{r,l}} f(u)\Psi(u)f^*(u)d\tau_M < \infty.$$

The space $L^2(M)$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(f,g)_{L^2(M)} := \int_{D_{r,l}} f(u)\Psi(u)g^*(u)d\tau_M, \qquad f,g \in L^2(M).$$

Set

$$W_n f(x,\varphi) = e^{i\varphi_n} f(x,\varphi), \qquad f \in L^2(M);\ 1 \leq n \leq l;$$

and

$$X_m f(x,\varphi) = x_m f(x,\varphi),$$

$$f(x,\varphi) \in L^2(M) :\ x_m f(x,\varphi) \in L^2(M);\ 1 \leq m \leq r.$$

Operators W_n are unitary. In the usual manner [7], one can check that operators X_m are self-adjoint.

2 A set of commuting self-adjoint and unitary operators with a spectrum of a finite multiplicity.

It is well known that a self-adjoint operator with a spectrum of a finite multiplicity in a Hilbert space H has a canonical model as a multiplication by an independent variable in $L^2(M)$. Here M is a $\mathbb{C}_{N\times N}^{\geq}$-valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$, and N is the multiplicity of the spectrum of A [4]. For our investigation on the density of polynomials, mentioned in the Introduction, we shall use a generalization of this result to the case of an arbitrary finite set of commuting self-adjoint and unitary operators. Moreover, we shall need a result which is a little more general even in the classical case. Our method of proof is little different from the classical one (we shall not use Lemma in [4, p.287]).

Consider a set

$$\mathcal{A} = (S_1, S_2, ..., S_{\mathbf{r}}, U_1, U_2, ..., U_{\mathbf{l}}), \quad \mathbf{r},\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ :\ \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{l} \neq 0, \tag{6}$$

where S_j are self-adjoint operators and U_k are unitary operators in a Hilbert space H, $1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{r}$, $1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{l}$. In the case $\mathbf{r} = 0$ operators S_j disappear. Analogously, for $\mathbf{l} = 0$ we only have operators S_j. The set $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a **SU-set of order** $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{l})$.

The set $\mathcal{A}$ is called **commuting** if operators S_j,U_k pairwise commute. This mean that

$$U_k U_m = U_m U_k, \quad 1 \leq k, m \leq \mathbf{l}; \tag{7}$$

$$U_k S_j \subset S_j U_k, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{r};\ 1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{l}; \tag{8}$$

and the spectral measures of S_j pairwise commute [7]. In this case, there exists a spectral measure $E(\delta)$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}})$, such that [7]:

$$S_j = \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} x_j dE, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{r}; \tag{9}$$

$$U_k = \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} e^{i\varphi_k} dE, \quad 1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{l}. \tag{10}$$

We shall call E **the spectral measure of the commuting SU-set $\mathcal{A}$ of order** $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{l})$.

We shall say that a commuting SU-set $\mathcal{A}$ of order $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{l})$ **has a spectrum of multiplicity** d, if

1) there exist vectors $h_0, h_1, ..., h_{d-1}$ in H such that

$$h_i \in D(S_1^{m_1} S_2^{m_2} ... S_{\mathbf{r}}^{m_{\mathbf{r}}}), \quad m_1, m_2, ..., m_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ 0 \leq i \leq d-1; \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{span}\{U_1^{n_1} U_2^{n_2} ... U_l^{n_1} S_1^{m_1} S_2^{m_2} ... S_{\mathbf{r}}^{m_{\mathbf{r}}} h_i, \\ m_1, m_2, ..., m_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+;\ n_1, n_2, ..., n_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{Z};\ 0 \leq i \leq d-1\} = H; \end{gathered} \tag{12}$$

2) (*minimality*) For arbitrary $\widetilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ :\ \widetilde{d} < d$, and arbitrary $\widetilde{h}_0, \widetilde{h}_1, ..., \widetilde{h}_{\widetilde{d}-1}$ in H, at least one of conditions (11),(12), with $\widetilde{d}$ instead of d, and $\widetilde{h}_i$ instead of h_i, is not satisfied.

In the case $\mathbf{r} = 0$, condition (11) is redundant. Condition (12) in cases $\mathbf{r} = 0$, $\mathbf{l} = 0$, has no U_k or S_j, respectively.

Set

$$\vec{e}_i = (\delta_{0,i}, \delta_{1,i}, ..., \delta_{N-1,i}), \qquad 0 \leq i \leq N-1.$$

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commuting SU-set of order $(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l})$ in a Hilbert space H which has a spectrum of multiplicity d. Let $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{N-1}$, $N \geq d$, be elements of H such that*

$$x_i \in D(S_1^{m_1} S_2^{m_2} ... S_{\mathbf{r}}^{m_{\mathbf{r}}}), \quad m_1, m_2, ..., m_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ 0 \leq i \leq N-1; \tag{13}$$

$$\operatorname{span}\{U_1^{n_1} U_2^{n_2} ... U_l^{n_1} S_1^{m_1} S_2^{m_2} ... S_{\mathbf{r}}^{m_{\mathbf{r}}} x_i,$$
$$m_1, m_2, ..., m_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+;\ n_1, n_2, ..., n_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{Z};\ 0 \leq i \leq N-1\} = H. \tag{14}$$

Set

$$M(\delta) = ((E(\delta)x_i, x_j)_H)_{i,j=0}^{N-1}, \qquad \delta \in \mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}), \tag{15}$$

where E is the spectral measure of $\mathcal{A}$.
Then there exists a unitary transformation V which maps $L^2(M)$ onto H such that:

$$V^{-1} S_j V = X_j, \qquad 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{r}; \tag{16}$$

$$V^{-1} U_k V = W_k, \qquad 1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{l}. \tag{17}$$

Moreover, we have

$$V \vec{e}_s = x_s, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq N-1. \tag{18}$$

Remark. In the case $\mathbf{r} = 0$ relations (13),(16) should be removed, and in (14) operators S_j disappear. In the case $\mathbf{l} = 0$ relation (17) should be removed and in (14) operators U_k disappear.
Proof. Let $\chi_\delta(u)$ be the characteristic function of a set $\delta \in \mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}})$. In the space $L^2(M)$ consider the following set:

$$L := \operatorname{Lin}\{\chi_\delta(u)\vec{e}_s,\ \delta \in \mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}),\ 0 \leq s \leq N-1\}. \tag{19}$$

Choose two arbitrary functions

$$f(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j} \alpha_j(\delta) \chi_\delta(u) \vec{e}_j, \quad \alpha_j(\delta) \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{20}$$

$$g(u) = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta' \in J_s} \beta_s(\delta') \chi_{\delta'}(u) \vec{e}_s, \quad \beta_s(\delta') \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{21}$$

where I_j,J_s are some finite subsets of $\mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}})$. We may write

$$(f(u), g(u))_{L^2(M)} = \sum_{j,s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j} \sum_{\delta' \in J_s} \alpha_j(\delta) \overline{\beta_s(\delta')} \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} \chi_{\delta \cap \delta'}(u) \vec{e}_j M'_\tau(u) \vec{e}_s^{\,*} d\tau_M$$

$$\sum_{j,s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j} \sum_{\delta' \in J_s} \alpha_j(\delta)\overline{\beta_s(\delta')} m_{j,s}(\delta \cap \delta'). \tag{22}$$

Set

$$x_f = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j} \alpha_j(\delta) E(\delta) x_j, \quad x_g = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta' \in J_s} \beta_s(\delta') E(\delta') x_s. \tag{23}$$

Then

$$(x_f, x_g)_H = \sum_{j,s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j} \sum_{\delta' \in J_s} \alpha_j(\delta)\overline{\beta_s(\delta')} (E(\delta)x_r, E(\delta')x_s)_H$$

$$= \sum_{j,s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j} \sum_{\delta' \in J_s} \alpha_j(\delta)\overline{\beta_s(\delta')} m_{j,s}(\delta \cap \delta'). \tag{24}$$

Comparing relations (22) and (24) we obtain:

$$(f, g)_{L^2(M)} = (x_f, x_g)_H. \tag{25}$$

Now assume that f and g belong to the same class of equivalence in $L^2(M)$: $\|f - g\|_{L^2(M)} = 0$. Then

$$\|x_f - x_g\|_H^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{\delta \in I_j} \alpha_j(\delta) E(\delta) - \sum_{\delta \in J_j} \beta_j(\delta) E(\delta) \right) x_j \right\|_H^2$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j \cup J_j} c_j(\delta) E(\delta) x_j \right\|_H^2,$$

where

$$c_j(\delta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \alpha_j(\delta), & \delta \in I_j \backslash J_j \\ -\beta_j(\delta), & \delta \in J_j \backslash I_j \\ \alpha_j(\delta) - \beta_j(\delta), & \delta \in I_j \cap J_j \end{array} \right. . \tag{26}$$

Set

$$w(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_j \cup J_j} c_j(\delta) \chi_\delta(u) \vec{e}_j. \tag{27}$$

Applying relation (25) with $f = g = w$ we obtain:

$$\|x_f - x_g\|_H^2 = \|x_w\|_H^2 = \|w\|_{L^2(M)}^2$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{\delta\in I_j} \alpha_j(\delta)\chi_\delta(u) - \sum_{\delta\in J_j} \beta_j(\delta)\chi_\delta(u) \right) \vec{e}_j \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 = \|f-g\|_{L^2(M)}^2 = 0.$$

Therefore a transformation V: $Vf = x_f$, is correctly defined on L, and $R(V) \subseteq H$. Moreover, relation (25) shows that V is an isometric transformation. Since simple functions are dense in $L^2(M)$ ([5, Theorem 3.11]), we have $\overline{L} = L^2(M)$. By continuity we extend V on the whole $L^2(M)$. Suppose that $R(V) \neq H$. Then there exists $0 \neq h \in H$, such that

$$(E(\delta)x_s, h)_H = 0, \qquad \delta \in \mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}),\ 0 \leq s \leq N-1.$$

Therefore we may write

$$(U_1^{n_1}U_2^{n_2}...U_{\mathbf{l}}^{n_{\mathbf{l}}}S_1^{m_1}S_2^{m_2}...S_{\mathbf{r}}^{m_{\mathbf{r}}}x_s, h)_H$$

$$= \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}...x_{\mathbf{r}}^{m_{\mathbf{r}}} e^{in_1\varphi_1}e^{in_2\varphi_2}...e^{in_{\mathbf{l}}\varphi_l} d(Ex_s,h)_H = 0,$$

$$m_1, m_2, ..., m_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n_1, n_2, ..., n_{\mathbf{l}} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By (14) we get $h = 0$. This contradiction proves that $R(V) = H$. Thus, V is a unitary transformation of $L^2(M)$ onto H. Observe that relation (18) holds. Set

$$L_i^2(M) = \{f(u) = (f_0(u), f_1(u), ..., f_{N-1}(u)) \in L^2(M) :$$

$$\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} |f_s(u)|^2 dm_{s,s} < \infty,\ 0 \leq s \leq N-1\}. \tag{28}$$

Here, as usual, we mean that $L_i^2(M)$ consists of classes of equivalence from $L^2(M)$, which have at least one representative f with square integrable components. Observe that simple functions belong to $L_i^2(M)$ and therefore $L_i^2(M)$ is dense in $L^2(M)$. Let us check that

$$Vf = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} f_s(u) dEx_s, \qquad f = (f_0, f_1, ..., f_{N-1}) \in L_i^2(M). \tag{29}$$

Choose an arbitrary function $f = (f_0, f_1, ..., f_{N-1}) \in L_i^2(M)$. Let

$$f_s^k(u) = \sum_{\delta\in I_{s,k}} \alpha_{s,k}(\delta)\chi_\delta(u), \quad 0 \leq s \leq N-1;\ k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{30}$$

where $I_{s,k}$ is a finite subset of $\mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}})$, be simple functions such that

$$\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} |f_s(u) - f_s^k(u)|^2 dm_{s,s} \leq \frac{1}{k^2}, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq N-1;\ k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{31}$$

Then

$$\|f(u) - \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} f_s^k(u)\vec{e}_s\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \frac{N}{k}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{32}$$

Set

$$f^k(u) = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} f_s^k(u)\vec{e}_s = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_{s,k}} \alpha_{s,k}(\delta)\chi_\delta(u)\vec{e}_s, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\|f - f^k\|_{L^2(M)} \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{33}$$

Therefore

$$\|Vf - Vf^k\|_H \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{34}$$

Observe that

$$Vf^k(u) = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_{s,k}} \alpha_{s,k}(\delta)E(\delta)x_s, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{35}$$

We may write

$$\left\| \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} f_s(u) dEx_s - \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\delta \in I_{s,k}} \alpha_{s,k}(\delta)E(\delta)x_s \right\|_H$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} \left(f_s(u) - \sum_{\delta \in I_{s,k}} \alpha_{s,k}(\delta)\chi_\delta(u)) \right) dEx_s \right\|_H$$

$$\leq \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \left\| \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} \left(f_s(u) - \sum_{\delta \in I_{s,k}} \alpha_{s,k}(\delta)\chi_\delta(u) \right) dEx_s \right\|_H$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}} \left| f_s(u) - \sum_{\delta \in I_{s,k}} \alpha_{s,k}(\delta)\chi_\delta(u) \right|^2 d(Ex_s, x_s)_H \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{N}{k},\ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the uniqueness of the limit we conclude that relation (29) holds.

In the case $\mathbf{r}=0$, the following considerations until relations (40),(41) are redundant, and in these relations one should choose $f\in L^2_i(M)$.

Set

$$L^2_{i;2}(M)=\{f(x,\varphi)=(f_0(x,\varphi),f_1(x,\varphi),...,f_{N-1}(x,\varphi))\in L^2(M):$$

$$\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}}|f_s(x,\varphi)|^2dm_{s,s}<\infty,\ \int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}}|x_kf_s(x,\varphi)|^2dm_{s,s}<\infty,$$

$$1\leq k\leq\mathbf{r},\ 0\leq s\leq N-1\}. \tag{36}$$

Of course, $L^2_{i;2}(M)\subseteq L^2_i(M)$, and $L^2_{i;2}(M)\subseteq D(X_k)$, $1\leq k\leq\mathbf{r}$. Moreover, we have

$$X_mL^2_{i;2}(M)\subseteq L^2_i(M),\qquad 1\leq m\leq\mathbf{r}. \tag{37}$$

Observe that functions

$$\chi_{\delta\cap\delta_k}(x,\varphi)\vec{e}_s,\quad \delta\in\mathfrak{B}(D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}),\ 0\leq s\leq N-1, \tag{38}$$

$$\delta_k=\{(x,\varphi)\in D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}:\ |x_m|\leq k,\ 1\leq m\leq\mathbf{r}\},\ k\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{39}$$

belong to $L^2_{i;2}(M)$. Therefore $L^2_{i;2}(M)$ is dense in $L^2(M)$.

Choose an arbitrary function $f\in L^2_{i;2}(M)$. By virtue of relation (29) we may write:

$$Vf=\sum_{s=0}^{N-1}\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}}f_s(x,\varphi)dEx_s, \tag{40}$$

$$VX_mf=\sum_{s=0}^{N-1}\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}}x_mf_s(x,\varphi)dEx_s=\sum_{s=0}^{N-1}S_m\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}}f_s(x,\varphi)dEx_s=S_mVf,$$

$$VW_nf=\sum_{s=0}^{N-1}\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}}e^{i\varphi_n}f_s(x,\varphi)dEx_s=\sum_{s=0}^{N-1}U_n\int_{D_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{l}}}f_s(x,\varphi)dEx_s=U_nVf, \tag{41}$$

where $1\leq m\leq\mathbf{r}$, $1\leq n\leq\mathbf{l}$. By continuity, from the latter relation we obtain that relation (17) holds. In the case $\mathbf{r}=0$ this completes the proof. In the opposite case we may write

$$X_mf=V^{-1}S_mVf,\qquad f\in L^2_{i;2}(M),\ 1\leq m\leq\mathbf{r}. \tag{42}$$

Let us prove that

$$L^2_{i;2}(M)\subseteq(X_m\pm iE_{L^2(M)})L^2_{i;2}(M). \tag{43}$$

Choose an arbitrary function $f = (f_0, f_1, ..., f_{N-1}) \in L^2_{i;2}(M)$. Observe that

$$g_\pm(x, \varphi) := \frac{1}{x_m \pm i}(f_0(x, \varphi), f_1(x, \varphi), ..., f_{N-1}(x, \varphi)) \in L^2_{i;2}(M). \tag{44}$$

Therefore $(X_m \pm iE_{L^2(M)})g_\pm(x, \varphi) = f$. Thus, relation (43) is true. This relation means that operators X_m and $V^{-1}S_mV$, restricted to $L^2_{i;2}(M)$, are essentially self-adjoint. Therefore they have a unique self-adjoint extension. Since operators X_m and $V^{-1}S_mV$ are self-adjoint extensions, we conclude that relation (16) holds. $\square$

3 Density of polynomials: the case (A).

Let $M = (m_{k,l})_{k,l=0}^{N-1}$ be a $\mathbb{C}^{\geq}_{N\times N}$-valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n dm_{k,l} \text{ exist}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+;\ 0 \leq k, l \leq N-1. \tag{45}$$

In this section, we shall use the same notation for matrix-valued measures $M(\delta)$ on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and their distribution functions $M(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ [6]. Set

$$S_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n dM, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{46}$$

and consider the matrix Hamburger moment problem with moments $\{S_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Set

$$\Gamma_n = (S_{k+l})_{k,l=0}^n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad \Gamma = (S_{k+l})_{k,l=0}^\infty = (\Gamma_{n,m})_{n,m=0}^\infty,\ \Gamma_{n,m} \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{47}$$

Since the moment problem has a solution we have

$$\Gamma_n \geq 0, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

There exists a Hilbert space H and a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in H, such that $\text{span}\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} = H$, and [8]

$$(x_n, x_m)_H = \Gamma_{n,m}, \qquad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{48}$$

Let A be a linear operator with $D(A) = \text{Lin}\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, defined by equalities

$$Ax_k = x_{k+N}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

In [8] it was shown that A is a correctly defined symmetric operator in H. Denote by $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}(\overline{A})$ a set of all analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$ operator-valued functions $F(\lambda)$, which values are contractions which map $N_i(\overline{A})$ into $N_{-i}(\overline{A})$ ($\|F(\lambda)\| \leq 1$). In [8, Theorem 4] it was proved that all solutions of the moment problem have the following form:

$$\mathbf{M}(x) = (\mathbf{m}_{k,j}(x))_{k,j=0}^{N-1}, \tag{49}$$

where $\mathbf{m}_{k,j}$ satisfy the following relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-\lambda} d\mathbf{m}_{k,j}(x) = ((A_{F(\lambda)} - \lambda E_H)^{-1} x_k, x_j)_H, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{50}$$

where $A_{F(\lambda)}$ is the quasiself-adjoint extension of $\overline{A}$ defined by $F(\lambda) \in \mathbf{F}(\overline{A})$.

On the other hand, to any operator function $F(\lambda) \in \mathbf{F}(\overline{A})$ there corresponds by relation (50) a solution of the matrix Hamburger moment problem. The correspondence between all operator functions $F(\lambda) \in \mathbf{F}(\overline{A})$ and all solutions of the moment problem, established by relation (50), is bijective.

Relation (50) may be written in the following form:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-\lambda} d\mathbf{m}_{k,j}(x) = (\mathbf{R}_\lambda(\overline{A}) x_k, x_j)_H, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{51}$$

where $\mathbf{R}_\lambda(\overline{A})$ is a generalized resolvent of $\overline{A}$. The correspondence between all generalized resolvents and all solutions of the moment problem is bijective. From relation (51) it follows that ([8, Theorem 2])

$$\mathbf{M}(t) = (\mathbf{m}_{k,j}(t))_{k,j=0}^{N-1}, \quad \mathbf{m}_{k,j}(t) = (\mathbf{E}_t x_k, x_j)_H, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{52}$$

where $\mathbf{E}_t$ is a spectral function of $\overline{A}$. The latter means that $\mathbf{E}_t = P_H^{\widehat{H}} \widehat{E}_t$, where $\widehat{E}_t$ is the orthogonal resolution of unity of a self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A} \supseteq A$ in a Hilbert space $\widehat{H} \supseteq H$. The correspondence between all spectral functions and all solutions of the moment problem is bijective, as well.

Definition 1 *A solution* $\mathbf{M}(t) = (\mathbf{m}_{k,j}(t))_{k,j=0}^{N-1}$ *of the matrix Hamburger moment problem (4) is said to be* **canonical**, *if it corresponds by relation (52) to an orthogonal spectral function of* $\overline{A}$, *i.e. to a spectral function generated by a self-adjoint extension* $\widehat{A} \supseteq \overline{A}$ *inside* H.

From this definition we see that *canonical solutions exist if and only if the defect numbers of A are equal.* Observe that $\mathbf{M}(t) = (\mathbf{m}_{k,j}(t))_{k,j=0}^{N-1}$ is a canonical solution of the matrix Hamburger moment problem (4) if and only if it corresponds to an orthogonal resolvent of $\overline{A}$, i.e. to a usual resolvent of a self-adjoint extension $\widehat{A} \supseteq \overline{A}$ inside H, in relation (51). Assume that the defect numbers of A are equal. From the Shtraus formula for generalized resolvents [9, Theorem 7], it easily follows that the orthogonal resolvents of $\overline{A}$ correspond to $F(\lambda) \equiv C$, C is a unitary operator from $N_i(\overline{A})$ onto $N_{-i}(\overline{A})$. Consequently, canonical solutions of the moment problem correspond in relation (50) to functions $F(\lambda) \equiv C$, C is a unitary operator from $N_i(\overline{A})$ onto $N_{-i}(\overline{A})$.

Theorem 2 *Let $M = (m_{k,l})_{k,l=0}^{N-1}$ be a $\mathbb{C}_{N\times N}^{\geq}$-valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that relation (45) holds. Let $L_0^2(M)$ be the closure in $L^2(M)$ of a set of all vector-valued polynomials $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$. Consider the matrix Hamburger moment problem with moments $\{S_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ defined by (46). Consider a Hilbert space H and a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in H, such that $\operatorname{span}\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} = H$, and relation (48) holds. Let A be a linear operator with $D(A) = \operatorname{Lin}\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, defined by equalities*

$$Ax_k = x_{k+N}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $L_0^2(M) = L^2(M)$;

(ii) M is a canonical solution of the corresponding matrix Hamburger moment problem;

(iii) $M(x) = (m_{k,j}(x))_{k,j=0}^{N-1}$ *satisfy the following relation:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-\lambda} dm_{k,j}(x) = ((A_U - \lambda E_H)^{-1} x_k, x_j)_H, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{53}$$

where A_U is a quasiself-adjoint extension of $\overline{A}$ defined by a unitary operator U from $N_i(\overline{A})$ onto $N_{-i}(\overline{A})$. The latter is equivalent to the fact that A_U is a self-adjoint extension of A inside H.

(iv) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, there exists a linear bounded operator D_λ in H such that

$$(D_\lambda x_{Nk+r}, x_{Nl+s})_H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x^{k+l}}{x-\lambda} dm_{r,s},\ 0 \leq r,s \leq N-1;\ k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{54}$$

which is invertible and

$$D_\lambda^{-1} + \lambda E_H \equiv A_U, \tag{55}$$

where A_U is a self-adjoint extension of A inside H.

Proof. (i)$\Rightarrow$(ii): Repeating arguments from [8, pp.276-278] we construct a self-adjoint extension $\widehat{A}$ of A, which acts in $H \oplus (L^2(M) \ominus L^2_0(M)) = H$, and

$$m_{k,j}(t) = (\widehat{E}_t x_k, x_j)_H, \tag{56}$$

where $\widehat{E}_t$ is a left-continuous resolution of unity of $\widehat{A}$. Thus, M is a canonical solution of the moment problem.

(ii)$\Rightarrow$(i): Let $M = (m_{k,j})_{k,j=0}^{N-1}$ has form (56), where $\widehat{E}_t$ is a left-continuous resolution of unity of a self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A} \supseteq A$ in H. Since $\widehat{A}x_n = Ax_n = x_{n+N}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then by the induction argument we get

$$\widehat{A}^r x_s = x_{rN+s}, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq N-1;\ r \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{57}$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{span}\{A^r x_s,\ 0 \leq s \leq N-1;\ r \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} = H. \tag{58}$$

Thus, $\widehat{A}$ has a spectrum of multiplicity $d \leq N$. By Theorem 1 there exists a unitary transformation W which maps $L^2(M)$ onto H such that:

$$W^{-1}\widehat{A}W = X, \tag{59}$$

$$W\vec{e}_s = x_s, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq N-1, \tag{60}$$

where X is the operator of multiplication by an independent variable in $L^2(M)$. Let us check that

$$Wx^k\vec{e}_s = x_{kN+s}, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq N-1;\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{61}$$

Fix an arbitrary s: $0 \leq s \leq N-1$. Let us use the induction argument. For $k = 0$ relation (61) holds. Assume that it is true for $k = r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then

$$Wx^{r+1}\vec{e}_s = WXW^{-1}Wx^r\vec{e}_s = \widetilde{A}x_{rN+s} = x_{(r+1)N+s}.$$

Therefore relation (61) is true.

Repeating arguments from [8, pp.276-277] we construct a unitary transformation V which maps $L^2_0(M)$ onto H, such that

$$Vx^k\vec{e}_s = x_{kN+s}, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq N-1;\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{62}$$

By (61),(62) we conclude that $Wf = Vf$, $f \in L^2_0(M)$. Therefore $WL^2_0(M) = H$, and $L^2_0(M) = W^{-1}H = L^2(M)$.

(ii)$\Leftrightarrow$(iii): This equivalence was established before the statement of the Theorem.

(ii)⇒(iv): Let $M = (m_{k,j})_{k,j=0}^{N-1}$ has form (56) where $\widehat{E}_t$ is a left-continuous resolution of unity of a self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A} \supseteq A$ in H. Then

$$(R_\lambda(\widehat{A}) x_{Nk+r}, x_{Nl+s})_H = (R_\lambda(\widehat{A}) \widehat{A}^k x_r, \widehat{A}^l x_s)_H = (\widehat{A}^{k+l} R_\lambda(\widehat{A}) x_r, x_s)_H \tag{63}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{t^{k+l}}{t-\lambda} d(\widehat{E} x_r, x_s)_H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{t^{k+l}}{t-\lambda} dm_{r,s}, \qquad 0 \leq r, s \leq N-1;\ k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{64}$$

Therefore for $D_\lambda := R_\lambda(\widehat{A})$ condition (iv) holds.
(iv)⇒(ii): Let $E_{U,t}$ be the left-continuous orthogonal resolution of unity of A_U. Observe that D_λ is the resolvent function of the self-adjoint operator $A_U \supseteq A$ in H. Using (54) we may write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-\lambda} d(E_{U,t} x_r, x_s)_H = (R_\lambda(A_U) x_r, x_s)_H = (D_\lambda x_r, x_s)_H$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-\lambda} dm_{r,s},\ 0 \leq r, s \leq N-1. \tag{65}$$

Therefore $M = ((E_{U,t} x_r, x_s)_H)_{r,s=0}^{N-1}$. Hence, M is a canonical solution of the moment problem. □

4 Density of polynomials: the case (B).

Let σ be a non-negative measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\Pi)$, such that

$$\int_{\Pi} x^m d\sigma < \infty, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{66}$$

Set

$$s_{m,n} := \int_{\Pi} x^m e^{in\varphi} d\sigma, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{67}$$

and consider the Devinatz moment problem with moments $\{s_{m,n}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Since the moment problem has a solution, for arbitrary complex numbers $\alpha_{m,n}$ (where all but finite numbers are zeros) we have [3]

$$\sum_{m,k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n,l=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} \overline{\alpha_{k,l}} s_{m+k,n-l} \geq 0. \tag{68}$$

There exists a Hilbert space H and a sequence $\{x_{m,n}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in H, such that $\operatorname{span}\{x_{m,n}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}} = H$, and [3]

$$(x_{m,n}, x_{k,l})_H = s_{m+k,n-l}, \qquad m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n, l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{69}$$

Let A_0, B_0 be linear operators and J_0 be an antilinear operator, with $D(A_0) = D(B_0) = D(J_0) = \mathrm{Lin}\{x_{m,n}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+, n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, defined by equalities

$$A_0 x_{m,n} = x_{m+1,n},\ B_0 x_{m,n} = x_{m,n+1},\ J_0 x_{m,n} = x_{m,-n}, \qquad m\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

In [3] it was shown that these operators are correctly defined, A_0 is symmetric and B_0 is isometric. Operators $A = \overline{A_0}$ and $B = \overline{B_0}$ are commuting closed symmetric and unitary operators, respectively. The operator J_0 extends by continuity to a conjugation J in H.

In [3] it was proved that an arbitrary solution μ of the Devinatz moment problem has the following form:

$$\mu(\delta) = ((\mathbf{E}\times F)(\delta)x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H, \qquad \delta\in\mathfrak{B}(\Pi), \tag{70}$$

where F is the spectral measure of B, $\mathbf{E}$ is a spectral measure of A which commutes with F. By $((\mathbf{E}\times F)(\delta)x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H$ we mean the non-negative Borel measure on Π which is obtained by the Lebesgue continuation procedure from the following non-negative measure on rectangles

$$((\mathbf{E}\times F)(I_x\times I_\varphi)x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H := (\mathbf{E}(I_x)F(I_\varphi)x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H, \tag{71}$$

where $I_x\subset\mathbb{R}$, $I_\varphi\subseteq[-\pi,\pi)$ are arbitrary intervals.
On the other hand, for an arbitrary spectral measure $\mathbf{E}$ of A which commutes with the spectral measure F of B, by relation (70) there corresponds a solution of the Devinatz moment problem. The correspondence between the spectral measures of A which commute with the spectral measure of B and solutions of the Devinatz moment problem is bijective.

Recall the following definition [3]:

Definition 2 *A solution μ of the Devinatz moment problem (1) is said to be* **canonical** *if it is generated by relation (70) where* $\mathbf{E}$ *is an* **orthogonal** *spectral measure of A which commutes with the spectral measure of B. Orthogonal spectral measures are those measures which are the spectral measures of self-adjoint extensions of A inside H.*

We also need some objects introduced in [3] to formulate a description of all canonical solutions. Set $V_A := (A+iE_H)(A-iE_H)^{-1}$, and

$$H_1 := \Delta_A(i),\ H_2 := H\ominus H_1,\ H_3 := \Delta_A(-i),\ H_4 := H\ominus H_3. \tag{72}$$

The restriction B_{H_2} of B to H_2 is unitary, and by the Godič-Lucenko Theorem it has a representation: $B_{H_2} = KL$, where K and L are some conjugations in H_2. Set $U_{2,4} := JK$. Let $F_2 = F_2(\delta)$, $\delta\in\mathfrak{B}([-\pi,\pi))$, be the spectral measure of the operator B_{H_2} in H_2. Let μ be a scalar non-negative measure with a type which coincides with the spectral type of the measure F_2. Let N_2 be the multiplicity function of the measure F_2. Then there exists a unitary transformation W of the space H_2 on the direct integral $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,N_2}$ such that

$$W B_{H_2} W^{-1} = Q_{e^{iy}}, \tag{73}$$

where $Q_{e^{iy}} : g(y) \mapsto e^{iy} g(y)$. Denote by $\mathbf{D}(B; H_2)$ a set of all unitary decomposable operators in $\mathcal{H}$.

In relation (70), canonical solutions correspond to those spectral measures $\mathbf{E}$ which are spectral measures of self-adjoint operators $\widehat{A}$ of the following form:

$$\widehat{A} = iE_H + 2(V_A \oplus U_{2,4} W^{-1} V_2 W - E_H)^{-1}, \tag{74}$$

where $V_2 \in \mathbf{D}(B; H_2)$. The correspondence between all operators $V_2 \in \mathbf{D}(B; H_2)$ and all canonical solutions is bijective [3].

Theorem 3 *Let σ be a non-negative measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\Pi)$, such that relation (66) holds. Let $L^2_0(\sigma)$ be the closure in $L^2(\sigma)$ of a set of all power-trigonometric polynomials (3). Consider the Devinatz moment problem with moments $\{s_{m,n}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by (67). Consider a Hilbert space H and a sequence $\{x_{m,n}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in H, such that* $\mathrm{span}\{x_{m,n}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+,n\in\mathbb{Z}} = H$, *and relation (69) holds. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $L^2_0(\sigma) = L^2(\sigma)$;

(ii) σ is a canonical solution of the Devinatz moment problem;

(iii) σ is generated by relation (70), where $\mathbf{E}$ is the spectral function of $\widehat{A}$ which has the form (74) with an operator $V_2 \in \mathbf{D}(B; H_2)$.

(iv) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, there exists a linear bounded operator D_λ in H such that

$$(D_\lambda x_{m,n}, x_{m',n'})_H = \int_\Pi \frac{x^{m+m'} e^{i(n-n')\varphi}}{x-\lambda} d\sigma, \quad m,m' \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n,n' \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{75}$$

which is invertible, and

$$((E_H + 2iD_i)^k x_{0,n}, x_{0,0})_H = \int_\Pi \left(\frac{x+i}{x-i}\right)^k e^{in\varphi} d\sigma, \quad n,k \in \mathbb{Z}; \tag{76}$$

$$D_\lambda^{-1} + \lambda E_H \equiv \widehat{A}, \tag{77}$$

where $\widehat{A}$ has the form (74) with an operator $V_2 \in \mathbf{D}(B; H_2)$.

Proof. (i)$\Rightarrow$(ii): This implication was proved in [3] (see considerations before References).
(ii)$\Rightarrow$(i): Let σ has form (70), where $\mathbf{E}$ is the spectral function a self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A} \supseteq A$ in H, which commutes with B. Since $\widehat{A}x_{m,n} = Ax_{m,n} = x_{m+1,n}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by an induction argument we get

$$\widehat{A}^r x_{m,n} = x_{m+r,n}, \qquad m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{78}$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{A}^r B^l x_{0,0} = \widehat{A}^r x_{0,l} = x_{r,l}, \quad r, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We conclude that

$$\mathrm{span}\{\widehat{A}^m B^n x_{0,0},\ m \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = H. \tag{79}$$

Thus, $(\widehat{A}, B)$ has a spectrum of multiplicity 1. By Theorem 1 there exists a unitary transformation W which maps $L^2(\sigma)$ onto H such that:

$$W^{-1}\widehat{A}W = X,\ W^{-1}BW = U \tag{80}$$

$$W1 = x_{0,0}, \tag{81}$$

where $X:\ f(x,\varphi) \mapsto xf(x,\varphi)$ and $U:\ f(x,\varphi) \mapsto e^{i\varphi}f(x,\varphi)$ in $L^2(\sigma)$. Let us check that

$$Wx^m = x_{m,0}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{82}$$

For $m = 0$ it is true. Assume that it is true for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then

$$Wx^{r+1} = WXW^{-1}Wx^r = \widehat{A}x_{r,0} = x_{r+1,0},$$

and therefore (82) holds. Let us prove that

$$Wx^m e^{in\varphi} = x_{m,n}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{83}$$

Fix an arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For $n = 0$ relation (83) holds. Assume that it is true for $n = r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then

$$We^{i(r+1)\varphi}x^m = WUW^{-1}We^{ir\varphi}x^m = Bx_{m,r} = x_{m,r+1}.$$

On the other hand, assume that (83) holds for $n = -r$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then

$$We^{i(-r-1)\varphi}x^m = WU^{-1}W^{-1}We^{-ir\varphi}x^m = B^{-1}x_{m,-r} = x_{m,-r-1}.$$

Therefore relation (83) is true.

Repeating arguments from the beginning of the Proof of Theorem 3.1 in [3] we construct a unitary transformation V which maps $L^2_0(\sigma)$ onto H, such that

$$Vx^m e^{in\varphi} = x_{m,n}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{84}$$

By (83),(84) we conclude that $Wf = Vf$, $f \in L^2_0(\sigma)$. Therefore $WL^2_0(\sigma) = H$, and $L^2_0(\sigma) = W^{-1}H = L^2(\sigma)$.

(ii)⇔(iii): This equivalence was established in [3, Theorem 3.2] and discussed before the statement of the Theorem.

(ii)⇒(iv): Let σ has form (70), where $\mathbf{E}$ is the spectral function a self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A} \supseteq A$ in H, which commutes with B. By considerations before the statement of the Theorem we obtain that $\widehat{A}$ has the form (74) with an operator $V_2 \in \mathbf{D}(B; H_2)$. Then

$$\left(R_\lambda(\widehat{A}) x_{m,n}, x_{m',n'} \right)_H = \left(R_\lambda(\widehat{A}) \widehat{A}^m B^n x_{0,0}, \widehat{A}^{m'} B^{n'} x_{0,0} \right)_H$$

$$= \left(B^{n-n'} \widehat{A}^{m+m'} R_\lambda(\widehat{A}) x_{0,0}, x_{0,0} \right)_H = \int_\Pi \frac{x^{m+m'} e^{i(n-n')\varphi}}{t-\lambda} d(\mathbf{E} \times F) x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H$$

$$= \int_\Pi \frac{x^{m+m'} e^{i(n-n')\varphi}}{t-\lambda} d\sigma, \qquad m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}; \tag{85}$$

$$\left((E_H + 2iR_i(\widehat{A}))^k x_{0,n}, x_{0,0} \right)_H = \left((E_H + 2iR_i(\widehat{A}))^k B^n x_{0,0}, x_{0,0} \right)_H$$

$$= \int_\Pi \left(\frac{x+i}{x-i} \right)^k e^{in\varphi} d(\mathbf{E} \times F) x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H$$

$$= \int_\Pi \left(\frac{x+i}{x-i} \right)^k e^{in\varphi} d\sigma, \qquad k, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{86}$$

Therefore for $D_\lambda := R_\lambda(\widehat{A})$ condition (iv) holds.

(iv)⇒(ii): Observe that D_λ is the resolvent function of the self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A} \supseteq A$ in H which commutes with B. Let $\widehat{E}$ be the spectral function of $\widehat{A}$. Using (76) we may write

$$\int_\Pi \left(\frac{x+i}{x-i} \right)^k e^{in\varphi} d((\widehat{E} \times F) x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H = ((E_H + 2iR_i(\widehat{A}))^k B^n x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H =$$

$$= (D_\lambda (E_H + 2iD_i)^k x_{0,n}, x_{0,0})_H = \int_\Pi \left(\frac{x+i}{x-i} \right)^k e^{in\varphi} d\sigma, \quad n, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{87}$$

Repeating arguments from the Proof of Theorem 3.1 [3], we easily obtain that $\sigma = ((\widehat{E} \times F) x_{0,0}, x_{0,0})_H$. Hence, σ is a canonical solution of the moment problem. □

References

[1] Akhiezer N. I. Classical moment problem and some questions of analysis connected with it. - Moskva: gos. izdat-vo fiz.-matem. liter., 1961.- 312 p. (Russian)

[2] Lopez-Rodriguez P., The Nevanlinna parametrization for a matrix moment problem // Math. Scand.- 2001.- 89.- P.245-267.

[3] Zagorodnyuk S., Devinatz's moment problem: a description of all solutions // manuscript.

[4] Akhiezer N. I., Glazman I.M. Theory of linear operators in a Hilbert space. Vol. 1. - Kharkov: izdat. pri KhGU i.o. "Vysha shkola", 1977.- 316 p. (Russian)

[5] Rosenberg M., The square-integrability of matrix-valued functions with respect to a non-negative Hermitian measure // Duke Math. J.- 1964.- 31.- P.291-298.

[6] Malamud M. M, Malamud S. M., Operator measures in a Hilbert space // Algebra i analiz.- 2003.- 15, 3.- P.1-52. (Russian)

[7] Birman M. Sh., Solomyak M. Z. Spectral theory of self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space. - Leningrad: izdat-vo Leningradskogo universiteta, 1980.- 265 p. (Russian)

[8] Zagorodnyuk S. M., A description of all solutions of the matrix Hamburger moment problem in a general case // Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology.- 2010.- 16, no.3.- P.271-288.

[9] Shtraus A.V., Generalized resolvents of symmetric operators // Izvestiya AN SSSR.- 1954.- 18.- P.51-86. (Russian)

On the density of polynomials in some $L^2(M)$ spaces.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

In this paper we study the density of polynomials in some $L^2(M)$ spaces. Two choices of the measure M and polynomials are considered: 1) a $(N \times N)$ matrix non-negative Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and vector-valued polynomials $p(x) = (p_0(x), p_1(x), ..., p_{N-1}(x))$, $p_j(x)$ are complex polynomials, $N \in \mathbb{N}$; 2) a scalar non-negative Borel measure in a strip $\Pi = \{(x, \varphi) :$

$x \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi \in [-\pi,\pi)\}$, and power-trigonometric polynomials: $p(x,\varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m e^{in\varphi}$, $\alpha_{m,n} \in \mathbb{C}$, where all but finite number of $\alpha_{m,n}$ are zeros. We prove that polynomials are dense in $L^2(M)$ if and only if M is a canonical solution of the corresponding moment problem. Using descriptions of canonical solutions, we get conditions for the density of polynomials in $L^2(M)$. For this purpose, we derive a model for commuting self-adjoint and unitary operators with a spectrum of a finite multiplicity.